TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH SNAPSHOT



What is readiness, and how is it related to digital tools like remote patient monitoring?

Authors

Dr Olivia Metcalf, Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Digital Transformation of Health, University of Melbourne

Professor Wendy Chapman, Associate Dean of Digital Health and Informatics and Director of Centre for Digital Transformation of Health, University of Melbourne.

The challenge

Readiness is an emerging concept that has important implications for healthcare services undertaking digital health transformation. Although the term readiness has existed in academic literature for decades, and across business and education settings, it has recently been used for digital health.

This snapshot will explain the state of the evidence, using remote patient monitoring (RPM) as an example, but it can apply to any digital tool. Three different terms are relevant to readiness:

- Digital readiness is an individual's motivation and competence to effectively adopt, use, and spread digital technology, and can encompass digital access and usage, digital literacy, and digital health literacy. It can be used broadly across all digital tools, or specific to a given digital tool such as remote patient monitoring.
- Organisational readiness is the shared resolve and the collective ability of organisational members to implement a change.
- Innovation readiness considers readiness for change across the entire innovation cycle from idea through to execution (in comparison to organisational readiness which specifically measures the successful adoption of new innovations).1

Why do we need to consider readiness?

Digital health interventions and tools are typically tested in effectiveness studies, such as randomised controlled trials. However, once there is evidence that an intervention or tool works, actual uptake and adoption are slow, due to many sociotechnical, organisational, or cultural barriers.² This issue is thought to be due to levels of readiness. Research shows that half of all efforts to introduce anything new to an organisation will fail due to low readiness for change.³ Additionally, even when an organisation has high levels of readiness, clinicians or patients may need additional training or education in order to be ready for a given digital intervention.

What we did

This snapshot uses a non-systematic approach, rapidly reviewing the most relevant, recent, and high quality evidence to answer this question. The evidence is reviewed alongside one academic expert and one content expert, in order to produce a brief evidence summary that is "good enough" to inform health services of relevant topics.⁴ This document alone is not sufficient to solely inform decision-making.

Find out more at deliver.westernalliance.org.au

The DELIVER research program is supported by a Commonwealth funded MRFF Rapid Applied Research Translation Grant

What does the evidence say about readiness?

Readiness is dynamic. An organisation, clinician, or patient may have high levels of readiness for remote patient monitoring at one time point, but low levels at another time point, due to a change in structural factors or declines or improvements in disease condition.⁵ Alternatively, an organisation, clinician, or patient may have high levels of readiness for one digital intervention like remote patient monitoring, and at the same time have low levels of readiness for another, such as an electronic medical record (EMR) alerting tool.⁶

Readiness affects multiple levels, but we don't have a comprehensive approach yet. An analysis found that readiness issues were present at multiple levels: 1) market, policy or governmental; 2) commercial, organisational, and infrastructure; and 3) professional, patient, and carers.² Thinking about readiness beyond just the individual and organisational level may be needed.

The concepts of motivation and competence, which both make up readiness, are different. Motivation is mainly a mind-set, which is related to confidence, while competence is mainly a skill set that can be learnt. Research has proposed that competence, rather than motivation, impacts digital readiness more significantly, and might be addressed by teaching relevant skills.

Trust is related to readiness. For example, poor capability to manage health-related data, as well as lack of governance, may be dealbreakers for readiness and should be carefully considered prior to implementation of digital tools in healthcare.⁶

There are several things we don't know. Inconsistent ideas of what it means to be ready; few reliable, valid, and practical tools for measuring readiness; limited knowledge of the conditions that promote readiness; and limited evidence that readiness matters.⁵

How has readiness been used so far?

- reviewing the evidence for readiness of remote patient monitoring in managing post-operative care, with results showing too little data to conclude anything.7
- using organisational readiness to understand how different digital health tools can be implemented such as in dermatology₈ and cardiology₉ in the US VA, and healthy lifestyles in child healthcare in Europe₇
- comparisons of patient readiness for digital health between countries,9 and within certain healthcare settings such as primary care11
- developing measures to assess readiness12,13
- and developing healthcare workforce readiness for digital transformation.14
- What this means for health services
- Readiness for any new digital tool should be assessed in some way prior to implementation of a new virtual tool such as remote patient monitoring. Research should continue to build an evidence base around what factors impact readiness and how to appropriately assess it.

What does this mean for health services?

Readiness for any new digital tool should be assessed in some way prior to implementation of a new virtual tool such as remote patient monitoring. Research should continue to build an evidence base around what factors impact readiness and how to appropriately assess it.

The DELIVER Research Project:

- Identifies what the people and healthcare providers of western Victoria need most in terms of homebased healthcare services
- Designs and tests the best way to deliver these services, so that home-based healthcare services will continue to grow and improve across the region and beyond
- Supports the growth of research in western Victoria, so that future research findings can quickly be translated to improvements in healthcare

Find out more at deliver.westernalliance.org.au

The DELIVER research program is supported by a Commonwealth funded MRFF Rapid Applied Research Translation Grant

References

- 1. van den Hoed MW, Backhaus R, de Vries E, Hamers JP, Daniëls R. Factors contributing to innovation readiness in health care organizations: a scoping review. BMC Health Services Research. 2022;22(1):997.
- 2. Lennon MR, Bouamrane M-M, Devlin AM, et al. Readiness for delivering digital health at scale: lessons from a longitudinal qualitative evaluation of a national digital health innovation program in the United Kingdom. Journal of medical Internet research. 2017;19(2):e6900.
- 3. Hughes J, Lennon M, Rogerson RJ, Crooks G. Scaling Digital Health Innovation: Developing a New 'Service Readiness Level'Framework of Evidence. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(23):12575.
- 4. Norman, G., Wilson, P., Dumville, J., Bower, P., & Cullum, N. (2022). Rapid evidence synthesis to enable innovation and adoption in health and social care. Systematic Reviews, 11(1), 1-12.
- 5. Weiner BJ, Clary AS, Klaman SL, Turner K, Alishahi-Tabriz A. Organizational readiness for change: what we know, what we think we know, and what we need to know. Implementation Science 30. 2020:101-144.
- 6. Fagerström M, Löf M, Müssener U, Thomas K. The importance of trusting conditions for organizations' readiness to implement mHealth to support healthy lifestyle behaviors: An interview study within Swedish child and school healthcare. Digital Health. 2023;9:20552076231181476.
- 7. McLean KA, Knight SR, Diehl TM, et al. Readiness for implementation of novel digital health interventions for postoperative monitoring: a systematic review and clinical innovation network analysis. The Lancet Digital Health. 2023;5(5):e295-e315.
- 8. Peracca SB, Fonseca AS, Lachica O, et al. Organizational Readiness for Patient-Facing Mobile Teledermatology to Care for Established Veteran Patients in the United States. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2023;29(1):72-80.
- 9. Shaw RJ, Kaufman MA, Bosworth HB, et al. Organizational factors associated with readiness to implement and translate a primary care based telemedicine behavioral program to improve blood pressure control: the HTN-IMPROVE study. Implementation Science. 2013;8:1-13.
- Borda M, Grishchenko N, Kowalczyk-Rólczyńska P. Patient readiness for remote healthcare services in the context of the COVID-19 Pandemic: evidence from European countries. Frontiers in Public Health. 2022;10:846641.
- 11. Hammerton M, Benson T, Sibley A. Readiness for five digital technologies in general practice: perceptions of staff in one part of southern England. BMJ Open Quality. 2022;11(2):e001865.
- 12. Scherrenberg M, Falter M, Kaihara T, et al. Development and Internal Validation of the Digital Health Readiness Questionnaire: Prospective Single-Center Survey Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2023;25:e41615.
- 13. Bingham JM, Rossi MA, Truong H-A. Addressing the need for a telehealth readiness assessment tool as a digital health strategy. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association. 2022;62(5):1524-1527.
- 14. Brommeyer M, Liang Z. A Systematic Approach in Developing Management Workforce Readiness for Digital Health Transformation in Healthcare. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022;19(21):13843.

The DELIVER research program is supported by a Commonwealth funded MRFF Rapid Applied Research Translation Grant